

2-Local derivations on algebras of matrix-valued functions on a compact

Ayupov Shavkat Abdullayevich

Institute of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan
sh_ayupov@mail.ru

Arzikulov Farhodjon Nematjonovich (a corresponding author)

Faculty of Mathematics, Andizhan State University, Andizhan, Uzbekistan
arzikulovfn@rambler.ru**Abstract**

In the present paper 2-local derivations on various algebras of infinite dimensional matrix-valued functions on a compact are considered. It is proved that every 2-local derivation on such algebra is a derivation. Also we explain that the method developed in the given paper can be applied to associative, Jordan and Lie algebras of infinite dimensional matrix-valued functions on a compact.

Keywords: derivation, 2-local derivation, associative algebra, C^* -algebra, a von Neumann algebra

AMS 2000: 46L57, 46L40

INTRODUCTION

The present paper is devoted to 2-local derivations on algebras. Recall that a 2-local derivation is defined as follows: given an algebra A , a map $\Delta : A \rightarrow A$ (not linear in general) is called a 2-local derivation if for every $x, y \in A$, there exists a derivation $D_{x,y} : A \rightarrow A$ such that $\Delta(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$ and $\Delta(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$.

In 1997, P. Šemrl introduced the notion of 2-local derivations and described 2-local derivations on the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on the infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space H . A similar description for the finite-dimensional case appeared later in (Kim and Kim 2004). In the paper (Lin and Wong 2006) 2-local derivations have been described on matrix algebras over finite-dimensional division rings.

In (Ayupov and Kudaybergenov 2012) the authors suggested a new technique and have generalized the above mentioned results of (Šemrl 1997) and (Kim and Kim 2004) for arbitrary Hilbert spaces. Namely they considered 2-local derivations on the algebra $B(H)$ of all linear bounded operators on an arbitrary (no separability is assumed) Hilbert space H and proved that every 2-local derivation on $B(H)$ is a derivation. After it is also published a number of paper devoted to 2-local derivations on associative algebras.

In the present paper we also suggest another technique and apply to various associative algebras of infinite dimensional matrix-valued functions on a compact. As a result we will have that every 2-local derivation on such an algebra is a derivation. As the main result of the paper it is established that every 2-local derivation on a $*$ -algebra $C(Q, M_n(F))$ or $C(Q, \mathcal{N}_n(F))$, where Q is a compact, $M_n(F)$ is the $*$ -algebra of infinite dimensional matrices over complex numbers (real numbers or quaternions) (see section 1), $\mathcal{N}_n(F)$ is the $*$ -algebra of infinite dimensional matrices over complex numbers (real numbers or quaternions) defined in section 2, is a derivation. Also we explain that the method developed in the given paper can be

applied to Jordan and Lie algebras of infinite dimensional matrix-valued functions on a compact.

We conclude that there are a number of various associative algebras of infinite dimensional matrix-valued functions on a compact every 2-local derivation of which is a derivation. The main results of this paper are new and never proven. The method of proving of these results represented in this paper is sufficiently universal and can be applied to associative, Lie and Jordan algebras. Its respective modification allows to prove similar problem for Jordan and Lie algebras of infinite dimensional matrix-valued functions on a compact.

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be an associative algebra.

Definition. A linear map $D : M \rightarrow M$ is called a derivation, if $D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y)$ for every two elements $x, y \in M$.

A map $\Delta : M \rightarrow M$ is called a 2-local derivation, if for every two elements $x, y \in M$ there exists a derivation $D_{x,y} : M \rightarrow M$ such that $\Delta(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$, $\Delta(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$.

It is known that each derivation D on a von Neumann algebra M is an inner derivation, that is there exists an element $a \in M$ such that

$$D(x) = ax - xa, x \in M.$$

Therefore for a von Neumann algebra M the above definition is equivalent to the following one: A map $\Delta : M \rightarrow M$ is called a 2-local derivation, if for every two elements $x, y \in M$ there exists an element $a \in M$ such that $\Delta(x) = ax - xa$, $\Delta(y) = ay - ya$.

Let throughout the paper n be an arbitrary infinite cardinal number, Ξ be a set of indexes of the cardinality n . Let $\{e_{ij}\}$ be a set of matrix units such that e_{ij} is a $n \times n$ -dimensional matrix, i.e. $e_{ij} = (a_{\alpha\beta})_{\alpha\beta \in \Xi}$, the (i, j) -th component of which is 1, i.e. $a_{ij} = 1$, and the rest components are zeros. Let $\{m_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Xi}$ be a set of $n \times n$ -dimensional matrixes. By $\sum_{\xi \in \Xi} m_\xi$ we denote the matrix whose components are sums of the corresponding components of matrixes of the set $\{m_\xi\}_{\xi \in \Xi}$. Let throughout the paper $F = \mathbb{C}$ (complexes), $\mathbb{R}$ (reals) or $\mathbb{H}$ (quaternions) and

$$M_n(F) = \{\{\lambda^{ij}e_{ij}\} : \text{for all indices } i, j \lambda^{ij} \in F,$$

and there exists such number $K \in \mathbf{R}$, that for all $n \in N$

$$\text{and } \{e_{kl}\}_{kl=1}^n \subseteq \{\{e_{ij}\} : \|\sum_{kl=1}^n \lambda^{kl}e_{kl}\| \leq K\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ is a norm of a matrix. It is easy to see that $M_n(F)$ is a vector space.

In the vector space

$$\mathcal{M}_n(F) = \{\{\lambda^{ij}e_{ij}\} : \text{for all indices } i, j \lambda^{ij} \in F\}$$

of all $n \times n$ -dimensional matrices (indexed sets) over F we introduce an associative multiplication as follows: if $x = \{\lambda^{ij}e_{ij}\}$, $y = \{\mu^{ij}e_{ij}\}$ are elements of $\mathcal{M}_n(F)$ then $xy = \{\sum_{\xi \in \Xi} \lambda^{i\xi} \mu^{\xi j} e_{ij}\}$. With respect to this operation $M_n(F)$ becomes an associative algebra and $M_n(F) \cong B(l_2(\Xi))$, where $l_2(\Xi)$ is a Hilbert space over F with elements $\{x_i\}_{i \in \Xi}$, $x_i \in F$ for all $i \in \Xi$, $B(l_2(\Xi))$ is the associative algebra of all bounded linear operators on the Hilbert space $l_2(\Xi)$. Then $M_n(F)$ is a von Neumann algebra of infinite $n \times n$ -dimensional matrices over F .

Similarly, if we take the algebra $B(H)$ of all bounded linear operators on an arbitrary Hilbert space H and if $\{q_i\}$ is an arbitrary maximal orthogonal set of minimal projections of $B(H)$, then $B(H) = \sum_{ij}^{\oplus} q_i B(H) q_j$ (see (Arzikulov 2008)).

Let throughout the paper X be a hyperstonean compact, $C(X)$ denote the algebra of all F -valued continuous functions on X and

$$\mathcal{M} = \{\{\lambda^{ij}(x)e_{ij}\}_{ij \in \Xi} : (\forall ij \ \lambda^{ij}(x) \in C(X))$$

$$(\exists K \in \mathbb{R})(\forall m \in N)(\forall \{e_{kl}\}_{kl=1}^m \subseteq \{e_{ij}\}) \|\sum_{kl=1}^m \lambda^{kl}(x)e_{kl}\| \leq K\},$$

where $\|\sum_{kl=1}^m \lambda^{kl}(x)e_{kl}\| \leq K$ means $(\forall x_o \in X) \|\sum_{kl=1}^m \lambda^{kl}(x_o)e_{kl}\| \leq K$. The set $\mathcal{M}$ is a vector space with point-wise algebraic operations. The map $\|\cdot\| : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ defined as

$$\|a\| = \sup_{\{e_{kl}\}_{kl=1}^n \subseteq \{e_{ij}\}} \|\sum_{kl=1}^n \lambda^{kl}(x)e_{kl}\|,$$

is a norm on the vector space $\mathcal{M}$, where $a \in \mathcal{M}$ and $a = \{\lambda^{ij}(x)e_{ij}\}$.

In the vector space $V = \{\{\lambda^{ij}e_{ij}\}_{ij} : \{\lambda^{ij}\} \subset C(X)\}$ of all infinite $n \times n$ -dimensional *matrices* (indexed sets) over $C(X)$ we introduce an associative multiplication as follows: if $x = \{\lambda^{ij}(x)e_{ij}\}$, $y = \{\mu^{ij}(x)e_{ij}\}$ are elements of V then $xy = \{\sum_{\xi} \lambda^{i\xi}(x)\mu^{\xi j}(x)e_{ij}\}$. With respect to this multiplication $\mathcal{M}$ becomes an associative algebra and $\mathcal{M} \cong C(X) \otimes M_n(F)$. Thus $\mathcal{M}$ is a real or complex von Neumann algebra of type I_n .

Let M be a C^* -algebra, $\Delta : M \rightarrow M$ be a 2-local derivation. Now let us show that Δ is homogeneous. Indeed, for each $x \in M$, and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a derivation $D_{x,\lambda x}$ such that $\Delta(x) = D_{x,\lambda x}(x)$ and $\Delta(\lambda x) = D_{x,\lambda x}(\lambda x)$. Then

$$\Delta(\lambda x) = D_{x,\lambda x}(\lambda x) = \lambda D_{x,\lambda x}(x) = \lambda \Delta(x).$$

Hence, Δ is homogenous. At the same time, for each $x \in M$, there exists a derivation D_{x,x^2} such that $\Delta(x) = D_{x,x^2}(x)$ and $\Delta(x^2) = D_{x,x^2}(x^2)$. Then

$$\Delta(x^2) = D_{x,x^2}(x^2) = D_{x,x^2}(x)x + xD_{x,x^2}(x) = \Delta(x)x + x\Delta(x).$$

In (Bresar 1988) it is proved that every Jordan derivation on a semi-prime algebra is a derivation. Since M is semi-prime (i.e. $aMa = \{0\}$ implies that $a = \{0\}$), the map Δ is a derivation if it is additive. Therefore, to prove that the 2-local derivation $\Delta : M \rightarrow M$ is a derivation it is sufficient to prove that $\Delta : M \rightarrow M$ is additive in the proof of theorem 1.

2. 2-LOCAL DERIVATIONS ON SOME ASSOCIATIVE ALGEBRAS OF MATRIX-VALUED FUNCTIONS

Let Q be a compact. Then there exists a hyperstonean compact X such that for the algebra $C(Q)$ of all continuous complex number-valued functions on Q we have $C(Q)^{**} \cong C(X)$. If we take the $*$ -algebra $C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ of all continuous maps of Q to $M_n(\mathbb{C})$, then we may assume that $C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C})) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. In this case the set $\{e_{ij}\}$ of constant functions belongs to $C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ and the weak closure of $C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ in $\mathcal{M}$ coincides with $\mathcal{M}$. Hence by separately weakly continuity of multiplication every derivation of $C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ has a unique extension to a derivation on $\mathcal{M}$. Therefore, if Δ is a 2-local derivation on $C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, then for every two elements $x, y \in C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ there exists a derivation $D_{x,y} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$

such that $\Delta(x) = D_{x,y}(x)$, $\Delta(y) = D_{x,y}(y)$, i.e. $D_{x,y}$ is a derivation of $\mathcal{M}$ (not only of $C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$). The following theorem is the key result of this section.

Theorem 1. *Let Δ be a 2-local derivation on $C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Then Δ is a derivation.*

First let us prove lemmata which are necessary for the proof of theorem 1.

By the above arguments for every 2-local derivation Δ on $C(Q, M_n(F))$ and for each $x \in C(Q, M_n(F))$ there exist $a \in \mathcal{M}$ such that

$$\Delta(x) = ax - xa.$$

Put

$$e_{ij} := \{\lambda^{\xi\eta} e_{\xi\eta}\},$$

where for all ξ, η , if $\xi = i, \eta = j$ then $\lambda^{\xi\eta} = \mathbf{1}$, else $\lambda^{\xi\eta} = 0$, $\mathbf{1}$ is unit of the algebra $C(Q)$. Let $\{a(ij)\} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be a subset such that

$$\Delta(e_{ij}) = a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij).$$

for all i, j , let $a^{ij}e_{ij}, a^{ij} \in C(Q)$, be the (i,j) -th component of the element $e_{ii}a(ji)e_{jj}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ for all pairs of different indexes i, j and let $\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}$ be the matrix in V with all such components, the diagonal components of which are zeros.

Lemma 2. *For each pair i, j of different indices the following equality is valid*

$$\Delta(e_{ij}) = \{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} - e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta} + a(ij)_{ii}e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij)_{jj}, \quad (1)$$

where $a(ij)^{ii}, a(ij)^{jj}$ are functions in $C(Q)$ which are the coefficients of the Peirce components $e_{ii}a(ij)e_{ii}, e_{jj}a(ij)e_{jj}$.

Proof. Let k be an arbitrary index different from i, j and let $a(ij, ik) \in \mathcal{M}$ be an element such that

$$\Delta(e_{ik}) = a(ij, ik)e_{ik} - e_{ik}a(ij, ik) \text{ and } \Delta(e_{ij}) = a(ij, ik)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij, ik).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{kk} \Delta(e_{ij})e_{jj} &= e_{kk}(a(ij, ik)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij, ik))e_{jj} = \\ &= e_{kk}a(ij, ik)e_{ij} - 0 = e_{kk}a(ij, ik)e_{ij} - e_{kk}e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{jj} = \\ &= e_{kk}a_{ki}e_{ij} - e_{kk}e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{jj} = e_{kk}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} - e_{kk}e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{jj} = \\ &= e_{kk}(\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} - e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta})e_{jj}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$e_{kk} \Delta(e_{ij})e_{ii} = e_{kk}(\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} - e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta})e_{ii}.$$

Let $a(ij, kj) \in \mathcal{M}$ be an element such that

$$\Delta(e_{kj}) = a(ij, kj)e_{kj} - e_{kj}a(ij, kj) \text{ and } \Delta(e_{ij}) = a(ij, kj)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij, kj).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ii} \Delta(e_{ij})e_{kk} &= e_{ii}(a(ij, kj)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij, kj))e_{kk} = \\ &= 0 - e_{ij}a(ij, kj)e_{kk} = 0 - e_{ij}a(kj)e_{kk} = 0 - e_{ij}a_{jk}e_{kk} = \\ &= e_{ii}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij}e_{kk} - e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{kk} = \\ &= e_{ii}(\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} - e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta})e_{kk}. \end{aligned}$$

Also similarly we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{jj} \Delta(e_{ij})e_{kk} &= e_{jj}(\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} - e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta})e_{kk}, \\ e_{ii} \Delta(e_{ij})e_{ii} &= e_{ii}(\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} - e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta})e_{ii}, \end{aligned}$$

$$e_{jj} \triangle (e_{ij})e_{jj} = e_{jj}(\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} - e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta})e_{jj}.$$

Hence the equality (1) is valid. $\triangleright$

We take elements of the sets $\{\{e_{i\xi}\}_{\xi}\}_i$ and $\{\{e_{\xi j}\}_{\xi}\}_j$ in pairs $(\{e_{\alpha\xi}\}_{\xi}, \{e_{\xi\beta}\}_{\xi})$ such that $\alpha \neq \beta$. Then using the set $\{(\{e_{\alpha\xi}\}_{\xi}, \{e_{\xi\beta}\}_{\xi})\}$ of such pairs we get the set $\{e_{\alpha\beta}\}$.

Let $x_o = \{e_{\alpha\beta}\}$ be a set $\{v^{ij}e_{ij}\}_{ij}$ such that for all i, j if $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (i, j)$ then $v_{ij} = 0 \in C(Q)$ else $v_{ij} = \mathbf{1} \in C(Q)$. Then $x_o \in C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Fix different indices i_o, j_o . Let $c \in \mathcal{M}$ be an element such that

$$\Delta(e_{i_o j_o}) = ce_{i_o j_o} - e_{i_o j_o}c \text{ and } \Delta(x_o) = cx_o - x_o c.$$

Put $c = \{c^{ij}e_{ij}\} \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\bar{a} = \{a^{ij}e_{ij}\}_{i \neq j} \cup \{a^{ii}e_{ii}\}$, where $\{a^{ii}e_{ii}\} = \{c^{ii}e_{ii}\}$.

Lemma 3. *Let ξ, η be arbitrary different indices, and let $b = \{b^{ij}e_{ij}\} \in \mathcal{M}$ be an element such that*

$$\Delta(e_{\xi\eta}) = be_{\xi\eta} - e_{\xi\eta}b \text{ and } \Delta(x_o) = bx_o - x_o b.$$

Then $c^{\xi\xi} - c^{\eta\eta} = b^{\xi\xi} - b^{\eta\eta}$.

Proof. We have that there exist $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}$ such that $e_{\xi\bar{\alpha}}, e_{\bar{\beta}\eta} \in \{e_{\alpha\beta}\}$ (or $e_{\bar{\alpha}\eta}, e_{\xi\bar{\beta}} \in \{e_{\alpha\beta}\}$, or $e_{\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}} \in \{e_{\alpha\beta}\}$), and there exists a chain of pairs of indexes $(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta})$ in Ω , where $\Omega = \{(\check{\alpha}, \check{\beta}) : e_{\check{\alpha}, \check{\beta}} \in \{e_{\alpha\beta}\}\}$, connecting pairs $(\xi, \bar{\alpha}), (\bar{\beta}, \eta)$ i.e.,

$$(\xi, \bar{\alpha}), (\bar{\alpha}, \xi_1), (\xi_1, \eta_1), \dots, (\eta_2, \bar{\beta}), (\bar{\beta}, \eta).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} c^{\xi\xi} - c^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}} &= b^{\xi\xi} - b^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}}, c^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}} - c^{\xi_1\xi_1} = b^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}} - b^{\xi_1\xi_1}, \\ c^{\xi_1\xi_1} - c^{\eta_1\eta_1} &= b^{\xi_1\xi_1} - b^{\eta_1\eta_1}, \dots, c^{\eta_2\eta_2} - c^{\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}} = b^{\eta_2\eta_2} - b^{\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}}, c^{\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}} - c^{\eta\eta} = b^{\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}} - b^{\eta\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c^{\xi\xi} - b^{\xi\xi} &= c^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}} - b^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}}, c^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}} - b^{\bar{\alpha}\bar{\alpha}} = c^{\xi_1\xi_1} - b^{\xi_1\xi_1}, \\ c^{\xi_1\xi_1} - b^{\xi_1\xi_1} &= c^{\eta_1\eta_1} - b^{\eta_1\eta_1}, \dots, c^{\eta_2\eta_2} - b^{\eta_2\eta_2} = c^{\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}} - b^{\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}}, c^{\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}} - b^{\bar{\beta}\bar{\beta}} = c^{\eta\eta} - b^{\eta\eta}. \end{aligned}$$

and $c^{\xi\xi} - b^{\xi\xi} = c^{\eta\eta} - b^{\eta\eta}$, $c^{\xi\xi} - c^{\eta\eta} = b^{\xi\xi} - b^{\eta\eta}$.

Therefore $c^{\xi\xi} - c^{\eta\eta} = b^{\xi\xi} - b^{\eta\eta}$. $\triangleright$

Lemma 4. *Let x be an element of the algebra $C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. Then*

$$\Delta(x) = \bar{a}x - x\bar{a},$$

where $\bar{a}$ is defined as above.

Proof. Let $d(ij) \in \mathcal{M}$ be an element such that

$$\Delta(e_{ij}) = d(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}d(ij) \text{ and } \Delta(x) = d(ij)x - xd(ij)$$

and $i \neq j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e_{ij}) &= d(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}d(ij) = \\ e_{ii}d(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}d(ij)e_{jj} &+ (1 - e_{ii})d(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}d(ij)(1 - e_{jj}) = \\ a(ij)_{ii}e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij)_{jj} &+ \{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} - e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta} \end{aligned}$$

for all i, j by lemma 2.

Since

$$e_{ii}d(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}d(ij)e_{jj} = a(ij)_{ii}e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij)_{jj}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - e_{ii})d(ij)e_{ii} &= \{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ii}, \\ e_{jj}d(ij)(1 - e_{jj}) &= e_{jj}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta} \end{aligned}$$

for all different i and j .

Let $b = \{b^{ij}e_{ij}\} \in \mathcal{M}$ be an element such that

$$\Delta(e_{ij}) = be_{ij} - e_{ij}b \text{ and } \Delta(x_o) = bx_o - x_ob.$$

Then $b^{ii} - b^{jj} = c^{ii} - c^{jj}$ by lemma 3. We have $b^{ii} - b^{jj} = d(ij)^{ii} - d(ij)^{jj}$ since

$$be_{ij} - e_{ij}b = d(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}d(ij).$$

Hence

$$c^{ii} - c^{jj} = d(ij)^{ii} - d(ij)^{jj}, c^{jj} - c^{ii} = d(ij)^{jj} - d(ij)^{ii}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_{jj} \Delta(x)e_{ii} &= e_{jj}(d(ij)x - xd(ij))e_{ii} = \\ e_{jj}d(ij)(1 - e_{jj})xe_{ii} &+ e_{jj}d(ij)e_{jj}xe_{ii} - e_{jj}x(1 - e_{ii})d(ij)e_{ii} - e_{jj}xe_{ii}d(ij)e_{ii} = \\ e_{jj}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}xe_{ii} &- e_{jj}x\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ii} + e_{jj}d(ij)e_{jj}xe_{ii} - e_{jj}xe_{ii}d(ij)e_{ii} = \\ e_{jj}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}xe_{ii} &- e_{jj}x\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ii} + c^{jj}e_{jj}xe_{ii} - e_{jj}xe_{ii}c^{ii}e_{ii} = \\ e_{jj}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}xe_{ii} &- e_{jj}x\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ii} + \\ e_{jj}(\sum_{\xi} a^{\xi\xi}e_{\xi\xi})xe_{ii} &- e_{jj}x(\sum_{\xi} a^{\xi\xi}e_{\xi\xi})e_{ii} = \\ e_{jj}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}xe_{ii} &- e_{jj}x\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ii} = e_{jj}(\bar{a}x - x\bar{a})e_{ii}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $d(ii)$, v , $w \in \mathcal{M}$ be elements such that

$$\Delta(e_{ii}) = d(ii)e_{ii} - e_{ii}d(ii) \text{ and } \Delta(x) = d(ii)x - xd(ii),$$

$$\Delta(e_{ii}) = ve_{ii} - e_{ii}v, \Delta(e_{ij}) = ve_{ij} - e_{ij}v,$$

and

$$\Delta(e_{ii}) = we_{ii} - e_{ii}w, \Delta(e_{ji}) = we_{ji} - e_{ji}w.$$

Then

$$(1 - e_{ii})a(ij)e_{ii} = (1 - e_{ii})ve_{ii} = (1 - e_{ii})d(ii)e_{ii},$$

and

$$e_{ii}a(ji)(1 - e_{ii}) = e_{ii}w(1 - e_{ii}) = e_{ii}d(ii)(1 - e_{ii}).$$

By lemma 2

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(e_{ij}) &= a(ij)e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij) = \\ \{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ij} &- e_{ij}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta} + a(ij)^{ii}e_{ij} - e_{ij}a(ij)^{jj} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(1 - e_{ii})a(ij)e_{ii} = \{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ii}.$$

Similarly

$$e_{ii}a(ji)(1 - e_{ii}) = e_{ii}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} e_{ii} \Delta(x)e_{ii} &= e_{ii}(d(ii)x - xd(ii))e_{ii} = \\ e_{ii}d(ii)(1 - e_{ii})xe_{ii} &+ e_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii}xe_{ii} - e_{ii}x(1 - e_{ii})d(ii)e_{ii} - e_{ii}xe_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii} = \\ e_{ii}a(ji)(1 - e_{ii})xe_{ii} &+ e_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii}xe_{ii} - e_{ii}x(1 - e_{ii})a(ij)e_{ii} - e_{ii}xe_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii} = \\ e_{ii}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}xe_{ii} &- e_{ii}x\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ii} + e_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii}xe_{ii} - e_{ii}xe_{ii}d(ii)e_{ii} = \\ e_{ii}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}xe_{ii} &- e_{ii}x\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ii} + c^{ii}e_{ii}xe_{ii} - e_{ii}xc^{ii}e_{ii} = \\ e_{ii}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}xe_{ii} &- e_{ii}x\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}_{\xi \neq \eta}e_{ii} + \\ e_{ii}(\sum_{\xi} a^{\xi\xi}e_{\xi\xi})xe_{ii} &- e_{ii}x(\sum_{\xi} a^{\xi\xi}e_{\xi\xi})e_{ii} = \end{aligned}$$

$$e_{ii}\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}xe_{ii} - e_{ii}x\{a^{\xi\eta}e_{\xi\eta}\}e_{ii} = e_{ii}(\bar{a}x - x\bar{a})e_{ii}.$$

Hence

$$\Delta(x) = \bar{a}x - x\bar{a}$$

for all $x \in C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$. $\triangleright$

Proof of theorem 1. By lemma 4 $\Delta(e_{ii}) = \bar{a}e_{ii} - e_{ii}\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence

$$\sum_{\xi} a^{\xi i} e_{\xi i} - \sum_{\xi} a^{i\xi} e_{i\xi} \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then

$$e_{ii}(\sum_{\xi} a^{\xi i} e_{\xi i} - \sum_{\xi} a^{i\xi} e_{i\xi}) = a^{ii}e_{ii} - \sum_{\xi} a^{i\xi} e_{i\xi} \in \mathcal{M}$$

and

$$(\sum_{\xi} a^{\xi i} e_{\xi i} - \sum_{\xi} a^{i\xi} e_{i\xi})e_{ii} = \sum_{\xi} a^{\xi i} e_{\xi i} - a^{ii}e_{ii} \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Therefore $\sum_{\xi} a^{\xi i} e_{\xi i}, \sum_{\xi} a^{i\xi} e_{i\xi} \in \mathcal{M}$ i.e., $\bar{a}e_{ii}, e_{ii}\bar{a} \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence $e_{ii}\bar{a}x, x\bar{a}e_{ii} \in \mathcal{M}$ for each i and

$$\bar{a}x, x\bar{a} \in V$$

for each element $x = \{x^{ij}e_{ij}\} \in C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{\xi} a^{i\xi} x^{\xi j} e_{ij}, \sum_{\xi} x^{i\xi} a^{\xi j} e_{ij} \in C(Q)e_{ij}$$

for all i, j . Therefore for all $x, y \in C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ we have that the elements $\bar{a}x, x\bar{a}, \bar{a}y, y\bar{a}, \bar{a}(x+y), (x+y)\bar{a}$ belong to V . Hence

$$\Delta(x+y) = \Delta(x) + \Delta(y)$$

by lemma 4.

Similarly for all $x, y \in C(Q, M_n(\mathbb{C}))$ we have

$$(\bar{a}x + x\bar{a})y = \bar{a}xy - x\bar{a}y \in \mathcal{M}, \bar{a}xy = \bar{a}(xy) \in V.$$

Then $x\bar{a}y = \bar{a}xy - (\bar{a}x - x\bar{a})y$ and $x\bar{a}y \in V$. Therefore

$$\bar{a}(xy) - (xy)\bar{a} = \bar{a}xy - x\bar{a}y + x\bar{a}y - xy\bar{a} = (\bar{a}x - x\bar{a})y + x(\bar{a}y - y\bar{a}).$$

Hence

$$\Delta(xy) = \Delta(x)y + x\Delta(y)$$

by lemma 4. By section 1 Δ is homogeneous. Hence, Δ is a linear operator and a derivation. The proof is complete. $\triangleright$

If we take the $*$ -algebra $C(Q, M_n(F))$, $F = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{H}$, then we can similarly prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let Δ be a 2-local derivation on $C(Q, M_n(F))$. Then Δ is a derivation.*

Note, for theorem 2 to be proved the proof of theorem 1 will be repeated with very minor modification.

Let

$$\sum_{ij}^o Fe_{ij} = \{\{\lambda^{ij}e_{ij}\} : \text{for all indices } i, j \lambda^{ij} \in F, \text{ and}$$

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists n_o \in N \text{ such that } \forall n \geq m \geq n_o$$

$$\left\| \sum_{i=m}^n \left[\sum_{k=1, \dots, i-1} (\lambda^{ki} e_{ki} + \lambda^{ik} e_{ik}) + \lambda^{ii} e_{ii} \right] \right\| < \varepsilon \}.$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ is a norm of a matrix. Then $\sum_{ij}^o Fe_{ij}$ is a C^* -algebra with respect to componentwise algebraic operations, the bilinear operation and the norm (Arzikulov 2012). Since Fe_{ij} is a simple C^* -algebra for all i , then by the proof of theorem 8 in (Arzikulov 2012) the C^* -algebra $\sum_{ij}^o Fe_{ij}$ is simple. Let $\mathcal{N}_n(F) = \sum_{ij}^o Fe_{ij}$. Then $C(Q, \mathcal{N}_n(F))$ is a real or complex C^* -algebra, where ($F = \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{H}$) and $C(Q, \mathcal{N}_n(F)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Hence similar to theorems 1, 2 we can prove the following theorem

Theorem 3. *Let Δ be a 2-local derivation on $C(Q, \mathcal{N}_n(F))$. Then Δ is a derivation.*

It is known that the set $\mathcal{M}_{sa}$ of all self-adjoint elements (i.e. $a^* = a$) of $\mathcal{M}$ forms a Jordan algebra with respect to the operation of multiplication $a \cdot b = \frac{1}{2}(ab + ba)$. The following problem can be similarly solved.

Problem 1. Develop a Jordan analog of the method applied in the proof of theorem 1 and prove that every 2-local derivation Δ on the Jordan algebra $\mathcal{M}_{sa}$ or $C(Q, M_n(F)_{sa})$ or $C(Q, \mathcal{N}_n(F)_{sa})$ is a derivation.

It is known that the set $\mathcal{M}_k = \{a \in \mathcal{M} : a^* = -a\}$ forms a Lie algebra with respect to the operation of multiplication $[a, b] = ab - ba$. So it is natural to consider the following problem.

Problem 2. Develop a Lie analog of the method applied in the proof of theorem 1 and prove that every 2-local derivation Δ on the Lie algebra $\mathcal{M}_k$ or $C(Q, M_n(F)_k)$ or $C(Q, \mathcal{N}_n(F)_k)$ is a derivation.

Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank K.K.Kudaybergenov for many stimulating conversations on the subject.

References

- Šemrl P (1997) Local automorphisms and derivations on $B(H)$. Proc Amer Math Soc 125:2677-2680
- Kim S O, Kim J S (2004) Local automorphisms and derivations on M_n . Proc Amer Math Soc 132:1389-1392
- Lin Y, Wong T (2006) A note on 2-local maps. Proc Edinb Math Soc 49:701-708.
- Ayupov Sh A, Kudaybergenov K K (2012) 2-local derivations and automorphisms on $B(H)$ 395:15-18
- Arzikulov F N (2008) Infinite order and norm decompositions of C^* -algebras. Int Journal of Math Analysis 2(5):255-262
- Arzikulov F N (2012) Infinite norm decompositions of C^* -algebras. In: Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, vol 220. Springer Basel AG pp. 11-21
- Bresar M (1988) Jordan derivations on semiprime rings. Proc Amer Math Soc 104:1003-1006